Previous references.

15

File No. ~~46~~ 4

1897-98

Serial Nos.

(~~Land Survey~~)
L. R

Later references.

L. S. 216 of 97-98

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 199

Part B.~~-ter~~

SUBJECT.

Revision of wet assessment on the lands under channels in the Sarvai Inam Village of Pattasomanahalli in the ~~French Rocks Sub Taluk~~ Seringapatam Taluk.

Table of Contents

Sl No

1. From The Supdt, Rev Survey
No. 762 Dt 23rd April 1898 (By No. 7705 R 4532)
Solicits decision of Government on a point connected with the Survey introduced into the Inam Villages of Pattasomanahalli, Seringapatam Tk. under section 113 of the Land Revenue Code.

2. To The Supdt, Rev Survey and The Dy Commr, Mysore Dist.
No. 10778-9 R 3563 Dt 13th June 1898.
Approves of the Grants action in preparing a fresh abstract with reference to the reduction in the water classification of Pattasomanahalli and directs that previous Sanction of Government be obtained in all such cases in future.

(1) (7)

S. Srinivasa Iyengar Vs

I am not sure that
Sec 118 of the T.P. Code
applies.

I have raised
the question of Court
sanction to keeping
under Sec 112 Clause
Thumb. Apart from
the general question
we may now take
advantage of the
words of sanction,
and unformally
according to the
introduction rates
which is maybe as
little to any maybe
obtained in all
such cases where
of the revised rates
should be
thrown under be received
at once so that
the Mamlatdar may
not collect any more
before the revision
or rather the enhanced
settlement is formally
introduced

Bradbury Co. Thornton's S.V.

4/5/98

129.

Gen. 30.]

1898

7705
R 4552

(57)

From The Supdt. Mysore Rev Survey.

To The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.

Gl and Rev. Depto.

Dated 23rd April 1898

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No.

General No. 762
No.

In reply to

SUBJECT

Soliciting decision of Govt on a point connected with the Survey settlement introduced into the Inam village of Patsomanhalli Seringapatam Taluk under Sec. 113 of the Code.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Secretary

Submitted for orders.

27/4/98

Where is the order of Govt authorising the extension of Mysore Rules to this Village?

Can you find out when the Village was settled & the rules announced? ✓

28/4/98.

R 15-9761

Subd. Please see Notification No 2983 A-1157 & 9-9-95. on the 23rd amendment 97.

Subd Report put up 29/4.

29/4/98

Secretary

29/4/98

Seen & 29/4.

In a case like this Govt can & Must waive - hold sanction under Section 112. ✓

30/4/98

RL 70m 30/4

27/4/98

No 762

Survey Superintendents Office

Bangalore 23rd April 1898

To

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Rev and Revenue

Departts

Sir

I have the honor to submit for the decision of Government a case which I feel unable to dispose of on my own responsibility and yet regarding the right course to pursue in which I entertain little doubt

2 The Indm village of Satsomanhalli in the Seringapatam Taluk was, at the request of the owner, brought under Settlement and the rates were duly

duly announced by the Deputy Commissioner under Section 113 of the Code.

3 After the introduction of the Settlement, as above, I found, on representation of the Ryots, that in the village in question a reduction (see Paras 22 and 23 of Report No 891 of the 23rd March 1889 on the Settlement of the Taluk of Seringapatam) had not been made in the water classification and, consequently, in the assessment of channel lands as had been done in the Taluk generally and consequently the wet rates in Patsomanhalli do not correspond, relatively, with the rates in neighbouring Govern-ment

= ment villages. This is the point on which the reference which I now make hinges. Separate sanction to Survey rates in Inam villages has been considered un= necessary and consequently the sanction required by Section 112 was not asked for. This fact appears to me to render it necessary to revise the assessment erroneously fixed for Patsomanhalli and make it correspond with the assessment fixed in the Taluk generally, because it was on this understanding that the sanction required under Section 112 was dispensed with. As the Patsomanhalli rates do differ

owing

owing to the water classifica-
tion not having been reduced
in proportion to the distance
of the land irrigated from the
head sluice, I now ask Govern-
ment to approve my action in
preparing a fresh Akarband.

4 The Inamdar, it is al-
most needless to say, wishes the
erroneous rates as announced
by the Deputy Commissioner
to hold good but I think such
a course would be unfair to
the Ryots and, in the long run,
unprofitable to the Inamdar.
The assessment erroneously fix-
ed has the effect of raising the
collection from Rs 1,598. 12. 2
to Rs 2,585. 8. 0. My proposed
modification

modification of the classification will reduce the gain to the Inamdar roughly from Rs 1,000 to about Rs 600, still a very substantial gain.

5— It is unfortunate that this oversight should have occurred but it is better that it should be set right as soon as possible and I therefore submit to Government the question whether the rates announced by the Deputy Commissioner under Section 113 are to hold good or whether it is necessary to assimilate the assessment to that prevailing in the Taluk generally. I hold the opinion that there has been an error which although not admitted

admitted by the Inundation is not the less palpable and the case may fairly be said to come under Section 118.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant

Supdt Mysore Revenue Survey

Draft orders (8)

Read:-

Letter No. 762 dated 23d April 1898 from the Supdt of Revenue Survey in Mysore, Soliciting orders regarding the revision of wet assessment on the lands under channels in the Pattasomanahalli Inam village, Seringapatam Taluk, owing to reduction not having been made in the water classification at the time of the Settlement of the village.

LR 15-9782

Orders thereon:-

No 10778-9 dt 13-5-98
R 3563 13

The extension to the Inam village in question, of the provisions of Chapters VIII and IX of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, having been authorized in Govt Notfn No. 2983 dated 9th September 95, R. 11557 the village was Surveyed and

and Settled, ~~and~~ the rates
were announced in August
1897 without the previous
Sanction of Govt. ~~thereon~~
~~under Section 112 of the~~
~~Mysore Land Revenue Code~~.
The Supdt of Revenue Survey
~~now~~ States that, on a repre-
-Sentation made by the
Ryots of the village, he ~~found~~
that no reduction was made
in the water classification
and Consequently in the
assessment of channel
lands, as had been done in
the Taluk generally & that
therefore the wet rates in
the Inam village do not
correspond, relatively, with
those prevailing in the neigh-
-bouring Government villages.
He further States that although
the Inamdar wishes the rates
already announced to hold
good, yet Such a course
would be unfair to the Ryots

and, in the long run, unpro-
-fitable to the Inamdar,
that the assessment erroneously
fixed has the effect of raising
the collection from Rs. 1598-12-2
to Rs. 2585-8-0. & that the
proposed modification of
the water classification
in proportion to the dis-
-tance of the land irrigated
from the ~~[illegible]~~ head Sluice,
will reduce the gain to the
Inamdar roughly from
Rs. 1,000 to about Rs. 600 which
is also a very substantial
gain. ~~He~~ I therefore request
orders as to whether the fact
that no sanction of Govt was ob-
-tained under Section 112
of the L. R. Code to the rates
announced by the Dy Comm^r
under Section 113, does not
render it necessary to revise
the assessment erroneously
fixed & make it correspond
~~[illegible]~~ with that prevailing in
the Taluk generally —

The supdt

2. Under the circumstances explained by the Supt. of Revenue Survey, and as no formal Sanction of Govt was obtained to the introduction of the Settlement already made, Govt are pleased to authorize the Supt. of Rev: Survey to revise the assessment of the wet lands of the Pattasomanahalli Inam village with reference to the proposed modification in the water classification, at a very early date; so that the Inamdar may not collect any money before the authorized Settlement is finally introduced

2. Government observe that under Section 112 of the Land Revenue Code, the rates announced by the Dr Commr cannot be given effect to without the Sanction of Government which should therefore be invariably obtained in all similar cases. Colonel Grants' action in preparing a fresh Assessment with reference to the modification in the water classification of Pattasomanahalli is approved, as it is important that the assessment in Inam Villages should correspond relatively with the rates in neighbouring Government Villages.

3- The Survey work be requested to elect a Sanction of Govt will seek Passes in future

Pencil

11/5/98

11/5/98 Secretary

To the Supt. of Rev Survey
in ellapore

" Dy Commr, ellapore Distt

May these be sent to the Surveyor of the District for his information